

FINAL

Environmental Impact Statement

HABITAT CONSERVATION PLAN

October 25, 1996













October 15, 1996

Dear Interested Party:

Attached is a copy of the Final Environmental Impact Statement on the proposed incidental take permit and Habitat Conservation Plan (HCP) for the Washington Department of Natural Resources (DNR).

DNR has developed an HCP as an alternate method of complying with the Endangered Species Act on the 1.6 million acres of forested state trust lands that lie within the range of the northern spotted owl. These lands are managed under state law by DNR to benefit several trusts. The HCP is part of DNR's application to the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service and the National Marine Fisheries Service for an incidental take permit and unlisted species agreement, under the authority of the Endangered Species Act. The permit would allow incidental take of listed species in the course of management activities on these 1.6 million acres. The unlisted species agreement would cover species that may be listed for protection in the future.

According to the requirements of the National Environmental Policy Act and the State Environmental Act, the draft HCP, the Draft Environmental Impact Statement, and the draft Implementation Agreement were made available for public review. During the formal public comment period that ended May 20, 1996, five public hearings were held around the state and numerous written comments were received.

The attached Final Environmental Impact Statement summarizes and responds to these comments. Resulting modifications to the HCP, the Draft Environmental Impact Statement, and the Implementation Agreement are also included.

Through the environmental review process, DNR's proposal and other reasonable alternatives were analyzed, evaluating the effects of the proposed action on issues and concerns such as threatened and endangered species and their habitats, other wildlife and their habitats, physical environmental factors, and effects on other elements of the human environment.

The Final Environmental Impact Statement will be available for review until December 2, 1996. For additional information, please contact William Vogel, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service, 3704 Griffin Lane SE, Suite 102, Olympia, WA 98501; Chuck Turley, DNR, P.O. Box 47011,

Olympia, WA 98504-7011; or Steve Landino, National Marine Fisheries Service, 3773 Martin Way E., C-101, Olympia, WA 98501.

Sincerely,

JENNIFER M. BELCHER

Commissioner of Public Lands

CURT SMITCH

Assistant Regional Director Fish and Wildlife Service

U.S. Department of the Interior

ELIZABETH GAAR

Habitat Branch Chief

National Marine Fisheries Service

National Oceanic and Atmospheric Agency

U.S. Department of Commerce

State Environmental Policy Act (SEPA) Fact Sheet

Title: Washington State Department of Natural Resources (DNR) Habitat Conservation Plan

DNR is proposing a habitat conservation plan (HCP) as a resource management strategy to assure long-term sustainable revenue for the trusts, health of the forest resources, and compliance with the Endangered Species Act. The HCP will continue implementation of the agency's chief policy and management document, the 1992 Forest Resource Plan. The HCP applies to approximately 1.6 million acres of DNR-managed forested trust lands within the range of the northern spotted owl. The proposed action, as defined in the State Environmental Policy Act (SEPA), is the adoption of the HCP by the Board of Natural Resources.

Proposed by: Washington State DNR

Lead Agencies:

Washington State DNR U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service National Marine Fisheries Service

Board of Natural Resources:

Jennifer Belcher, Commissioner of Public Lands Judith Billings, Superintendent of Public Instruction

Dorothy Duncan, County Commissioner representing the Forest Board Counties David Thorud, Dean, College of Forest Resources, University of Washington

Bob Nichols, Executive Policy Division, OFM - designee for Governor Mike Lowry

James Zuiches, Dean, College of Agriculture and Home Economics, Washington State University

Responsible Official: Terry Kirkpatrick, Acting Division Manager, Forest Resources Division

Authors and Contributors: For the full list of preparers, refer to Chapter 5 of the Draft EIS.

Licenses Required: No licenses are required to prepare and implement the proposed plan. An incidental take permit and unlisted species agreements were requested from the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service and National Marine Fisheries Service. Site-specific activities conducted under the plan may require Forest Practices permits, hydraulics permits, and other licenses.

Draft Environmental Impact Statement Date of Issue: March 22, 1996

Public Comment Period: March 22 - May 23,

1996

Locations and Dates of Public Hearings:

Vancouver	April 15, 1996
Spokane	April 16, 1996
Seattle	April 18, 1996
Port Angeles	April 30, 1996
Olympia	May 6, 1996

Final Environmental Impact Statement Date of

Issue: October 25, 1996

Contact Person and Written Testimony:

Chuck Turley DNR - Habitat Conservation Plan PO Box 47011 Olympia, WA 98504-7011

Please include the SEPA No. (94-042501) when corresponding about this project.

Proposed Adoption Date: Fall/Winter 1996

Reference Material and Data Located at:

Department of Natural Resources Resource Planning and Asset Management Division 1111 Washington Street SE PO Box 47014 Olympia, WA 98504-7014

Subsequent Environmental Review: The draft plan is considered a "nonproject proposal" (under WAC 197-11-442). It describes a plan for forest trust lands within the range of the northern spotted owl rather than site-specific activities. DNR recognizes that subsequent environmental review of proposed site-specific actions may be necessary at a future time but has not identified specific future activities or times. This FEIS will be used as appropriate to meet DNR's future responsibilities under SEPA.

National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA) Cover Sheet

Title of Proposed Action: Issuance of a Permit for Incidental Take of Federally-Listed Species

and Implementation of the Multi-Species Plan for Lands Managed by

WADNR within the range of the northern spotted owl.

Responsible Officials: Mr. Thomas J. Dwyer

Deputy Regional Director

U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service 911 NE 11th Avenue

Portland, OR 97232

Mr. William Stelle, Jr. Regional Director

National Marine Fisheries Service

7600 Sand Point Way NE

Seattle, WA 98115

Contact: Mr. William O. Vogel and

Mr. Craig Hansen

U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service

3773 Martin Way East Building C, Suite 101 Olympia, WA 98501 (360)534-9330

Mr. Steven W. Landino

Habitat Branch **National Marine Fisheries Service** 3773 Martin Way East Building C, Suite 101 Olympia, WA 98501

(360)534-9330

For DNR's responsible official and contact, see the SEPA Fact Sheet on preceding page.

Endangered Species Act of 1973, as amended, Section 10(a), as implemented Legal Mandate:

by C.F.R 17.22(b)(1) & 17.32(b)(1)

Applicant Name: Washington State Department of Natural Resources

1111 Washington Street SE

PO Box 47000

Olympia, WA 98504-7000

FINAL

Environmental Impact Statement

HABITAT CONSERVATION PLAN

October 25, 1996

Washington State
Department of Natural Resources
1111 Washington ST SE
PO BOX 47000
Olympia WA 98504-7000
(360) 902-1000

Printed on recycled paper

Acronyms

C.F.R. U.S. Code of Federal Regulations

CWD Coarse woody debrisdbh Diameter at breast heightDCA Designated Conservation Area

DEIS Draft environmental impact statement

DNR Washington Department of Natural Resources

EIS Environmental impact statement

ESA Endangered Species Act

ESU Evolutionarily Significant Unit

FEIS Final environmental impact statement

FEMAT Forest Ecosystem Management Assessment Team

FRP Forest Resource Plan

FSEIS Final supplemental environmental impact statement

GIS Geographic information system

HAU Hydrologic analysis unit
HCP Habitat conservation plan
IA Implementation agreement
ITP Incidental Take Permit
LOD Large organic debris
LPU Landscape planning unit
LSR Late-Successional Reserve

LULC Land Use/Land Cover (DNR GIS data layer)

LWD Large woody debris

MPL Major Public Lands (DNR GIS data layer)

NAP Natural Area Preserve

NEPA National Environmental Policy Act
NMFS National Marine Fisheries Service
NRCA Natural Resource Conservation Area
NRF Nesting, roosting and foraging habitat
OESF Olympic Experimental State Forest
RCW Revised Code of Washington

RMZ Riparian management zone (as defined in WAC 222)

SEA Special Emphasis Area

SEIS Supplemental environmental impact statement

SEPA State Environmental Policy Act

U.S.C. U.S. Code

USDA U.S. Department of Agriculture USDI U.S. Department of the Interior

USFS U.S. Forest Service

USFWS U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service
WAC Washington Administrative Code
WAU Watershed administrative unit

WDF Washington Department of Fisheries (merged into WDFW in 1994)WDW Washington Department of Wildlife (merged into WDFW in 1994)

WDFW Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife

WFPB Washington Forest Practices Board WRIA Water resource inventory area



Contents

Section 1. Background and Context

- 1-1 1.1 PROCESS
- 1-5 1.2 FORMAT FOR FEIS

Section 2. Changes to the Draft EIS

2-1	REV	/ISIONS
-----	-----	---------

2-1	Exe	cutive	Sumn	nary
-----	-----	--------	------	------

- 2-2 1. Purpose of and Need for Action
- 2-2 1.1 Introduction
- 2-2 1.2 DNR's Purpose and Need
- 2-2 1.3 USFWS' and NMFS' Purpose and Need
- 2-2 1.4 Regulatory Framework
- 2-2 1.5 Overview of the Olympic Experimental State Forest
- 2-2 1.6 Issues and Concerns
- 2-2 1.7 Overview of Remaining Chapters

2-2 2. Alternatives

- 2-2 2.1 Introduction
- 2-2 2.2 Development of DNR's Alternatives
- 2-2 2.3 Features Common to All Resaonable Alternatives
- 2-2 2.4 Range of Alternatives Originally Considered
- 2-2 2.5 Evaluation of Alternatives Related to Eight Planning Units in HCP Area (Excluding OESF)
- 2-3 2.6 Evaluation of Potential Olympic Experimental State Forest Alternatives

2-3 3. Environmental Setting

- 2-3 3.1 Summary of DNR-Managed Lands
- 2-3 3.2 Climate
- 2-3 3.3 Forest Disturbance on DNR-Managed Lands
- 2-3 3.4 General Stand Conditions

2-3 4. Affected Environment and Environmental Consequences

- 2-3 4.1 Chapter Organization
- 2-3 4.2 Five West-Side Planning Units (excluding OESF)
- 2-3 4.2.1 Northern Spotted Owl
- 2-4 4.2.2 Marbled Murrelet
- 2-7 4.3 Three East-side Planning Units
- 2-7 4.3.1 Northern Spotted Owl
- 2-8 4.4 Olympic Experimental State Forest Planning Area
- 2-10 4.5 Other Species and Habitats

2-41 2-41 2-41 2-41	4.6 Soil 4.7 Air Quality 4.8 Water Quality 4.9 Cultural Resources
2-42 2-44	4.10 Economic Analysis of DNR's Habitat Conservation Plan 4.11 Cumulative Effects
2-45	5. List of Preparers
2-49	6. Distribution List
2-49	Appendix A - DNR's Forest Management
2-49	Appendix B - Geology/Soils/Vegetation
2-49	Appendix C - Calculating Juvenile Survival Rates and the Finite Rate of Change of the Spotted Owl Population on the Olympic Peninsula
2-49	Appendix D - Methods for the Evaluation of Conservation Alternatives for Spotted Owl on the OESF
2-49	Glossary
2-49	References
2-51	Matrices
a ==	Tables
2-53	Tables
2-53 2-67	Figures
2-67 2-68	Figures
2-67 2-68	Figures Maps
2-67 2-68 Sect	Figures Maps ion 3. Response to Comments
2-67 2-68 Sect 3-1	Figures Maps ion 3. Response to Comments 3.1 OUTLINE OF COMMENT CATEGORIES
2-67 2-68 Sect 3-1 3-7 3-7	Figures Maps ion 3. Response to Comments 3.1 OUTLINE OF COMMENT CATEGORIES 3.2 COMMENT SUMMARIES AND RESPONSES Comments relating specifically to this HCP I. General Comments
2-67 2-68 Sect 3-1 3-7 3-7 3-7 3-7	Figures Maps ion 3. Response to Comments 3.1 OUTLINE OF COMMENT CATEGORIES 3.2 COMMENT SUMMARIES AND RESPONSES Comments relating specifically to this HCP I. General Comments II. Description of Area
2-67 2-68 Sect 3-1 3-7 3-7 3-7 3-7 3-9	Figures Maps ion 3. Response to Comments 3.1 OUTLINE OF COMMENT CATEGORIES 3.2 COMMENT SUMMARIES AND RESPONSES Comments relating specifically to this HCP I. General Comments II. Description of Area III. Abiotic Issues
2-67 2-68 Sect 3-1 3-7 3-7 3-7 3-7	Figures Maps ion 3. Response to Comments 3.1 OUTLINE OF COMMENT CATEGORIES 3.2 COMMENT SUMMARIES AND RESPONSES Comments relating specifically to this HCP I. General Comments II. Description of Area III. Abiotic Issues
2-67 2-68 Sect 3-1 3-7 3-7 3-7 3-9 3-11 3-117 3-122	Figures Maps ion 3. Response to Comments 3.1 OUTLINE OF COMMENT CATEGORIES 3.2 COMMENT SUMMARIES AND RESPONSES Comments relating specifically to this HCP I. General Comments II. Description of Area III. Abiotic Issues IV. Biotic Issues V. Human Environment VI. Management Practices
2-67 2-68 Sect 3-1 3-7 3-7 3-7 3-9 3-11 3-117 3-122 3-146	Figures Maps ion 3. Response to Comments 3.1 OUTLINE OF COMMENT CATEGORIES 3.2 COMMENT SUMMARIES AND RESPONSES Comments relating specifically to this HCP I. General Comments II. Description of Area III. Abiotic Issues IV. Biotic Issues V. Human Environment VI. Management Practices VII. Other Plan Elements
2-67 2-68 Sect 3-1 3-7 3-7 3-7 3-9 3-11 3-117 3-122 3-146 3-153	Figures Maps ion 3. Response to Comments 3.1 OUTLINE OF COMMENT CATEGORIES 3.2 COMMENT SUMMARIES AND RESPONSES Comments relating specifically to this HCP I. General Comments II. Description of Area III. Abiotic Issues IV. Biotic Issues V. Human Environment VI. Management Practices VII. Other Plan Elements VIII. Implementation Issues
2-67 2-68 Sect 3-1 3-7 3-7 3-7 3-9 3-11 3-117 3-122 3-146	Figures Maps ion 3. Response to Comments 3.1 OUTLINE OF COMMENT CATEGORIES 3.2 COMMENT SUMMARIES AND RESPONSES Comments relating specifically to this HCP I. General Comments II. Description of Area III. Abiotic Issues IV. Biotic Issues V. Human Environment VI. Management Practices VII. Other Plan Elements VIII. Implementation Issues IX. Relationships to Other Land Management
2-67 2-68 Sect 3-1 3-7 3-7 3-7 3-9 3-11 3-117 3-122 3-146 3-153 3-161 3-164	Figures Maps ion 3. Response to Comments 3.1 OUTLINE OF COMMENT CATEGORIES 3.2 COMMENT SUMMARIES AND RESPONSES Comments relating specifically to this HCP I. General Comments II. Description of Area III. Abiotic Issues IV. Biotic Issues V. Human Environment VI. Management Practices VII. Other Plan Elements VIII. Implementation Issues IX. Relationships to Other Land Management X. Third-Party Involvement
2-67 2-68 Sect 3-1 3-7 3-7 3-7 3-9 3-11 3-117 3-122 3-146 3-153 3-161 3-164 3-166	Figures Maps ion 3. Response to Comments 3.1 OUTLINE OF COMMENT CATEGORIES 3.2 COMMENT SUMMARIES AND RESPONSES Comments relating specifically to this HCP I. General Comments II. Description of Area III. Abiotic Issues IV. Biotic Issues IV. Biotic Issues V. Human Environment VI. Management Practices VII. Other Plan Elements VIII. Implementation Issues IX. Relationships to Other Land Management X. Third-Party Involvement XI. Trust Beneficiaries
2-67 2-68 Sect 3-1 3-7 3-7 3-7 3-9 3-11 3-117 3-122 3-146 3-153 3-161 3-164	Figures Maps ion 3. Response to Comments 3.1 OUTLINE OF COMMENT CATEGORIES 3.2 COMMENT SUMMARIES AND RESPONSES Comments relating specifically to this HCP I. General Comments II. Description of Area III. Abiotic Issues IV. Biotic Issues V. Human Environment VI. Management Practices VII. Other Plan Elements VIII. Implementation Issues IX. Relationships to Other Land Management X. Third-Party Involvement

- 3-184 XV. Miscellaneous Comments
- 3-189 XVI. The HCP Process

3-193 3.3 ADDITIONAL TRIBAL COMMENTS

3-193 Comments relating specifically to this HCP

- 3-193 I. General Comments
- 3-193 II. Description of Area
- 3-193 III. Abiotic Issues
- 3-194 IV. Biotic Issues
- 3-198 V. Human Environment
- 3-199 VI. Management Practices
- 3-202 VII. Other Plan Elements
- 3-203 VIII. Implementation Issues
- 3-204 IX. Relationships to Other Land Management
- 3-204 X. Third-Party Involvement
- 3-205 XI. Trust Beneficiaries
- 3-206 XII. Public Involvement
- 3-206 XIII. NEPA/SEPA Comments
- 3-207 XIV. Approval/Disapproval
- 3-207 XV. Miscellaneous Comments
- 3-207 XVI. The HCP Process

Appendix 1. DEIS List of Commentors

- A1-1 A. List of Commentors
- A1-1 Cities, Ports, Water Districts
- A1-1 Counties
- A1-1 Environmental Organizations
- A1-2 Federal Agencies
- A1-2 Indian Tribes
- A1-2 Industry Associations
- A1-3 State Agencies
- A1-3 State Legislative Delegation
- A1-3 Universities
- A1-3 Interested Individuals
- A1-4 Other

Appendix 2. Distribution Lists

- **A2-1** Draft EIS Distribution List
- A2-1 Federal
- A2-1 U.S. Senate
- A2-1 U. S. House of Representatives
- A2-1 State
- A2-2 State Legislators
- A2-2 County
- A2-3 Local
- A2-3 Tribal
- A2-4 Libraries
- A2-6 Organizations
- A2-7 Individuals
- A2-15 Other

A2-8 **Final EIS Distribution Plan** A2-8 Federal A2-8 State A2-8 **State Legislators** A2-9 County A2-9 Local A2-9 Tribal A2-10 Libraries A2-10 Organizations A2-11 Individuals Appendix 3. Changes to DNR's draft Habitat Conservation Plan 3.1 SUMMARY OF MAJOR CHANGES TO THE HCP IN RESPONSE TO A3-1 PUBLIC COMMENT A3-5 3.2 REVISIONS TO THE HABITAT CONSERVATION PLAN A3-5 i. Executive Summary I. Introduction A3-5 A3-5 **II. Planning Context** III. Biological Data for Species Covered by the HCP A3-6 A3-6 A. Northern Spotted Owl **B**. Marbled Murrelet A3-6 C. Other Federally Listed Species Within the Range of the A3-6 Northern Spotted Owl D. Salmonids and the Riparian Ecosystem A3-7 A3-8 E. Other Species of Concern in the Area Covered by the HCP A3-12 IV. The Habitat Conservation Plan A3-12 A. Minimization and Mitigation for the Northern Spotted Owl in the Five West-side and All East-side Planning Units B. Minimization and Mitigation for the Marbled Murrelet in the Five Westside and the Olympic Experimental State Forest Planning Units C. Minimization and Mitigation for Other Federally Listed Species in All **Planning Units** D. Riparian Conservation Strategy for the Five West-side Planning Units A3-22 E. Olympic Experimental State Forest Planning Unit A3-33 A3-38 F. Multispecies Conservation Strategy for Unlisted Species in the Five Westside Planning Units G. Conservation Assessments for Federally Listed Plant Species, Candidate Plant Species, and Plant Species of Concern H. Forest Land Management Activities A3-54 A3-62 V. Plan Implementation A3-65 VI. Alternatives to the Habitat Conservation Plan that Would Avoid Take A3-65 A Appendix - Geographic Analysis

A3-65 B Appendix - Draft Implementation Agreement

A3-66 References A3-68 Glossary A3-68 Tables A3-85 Figures A3-85 Maps **Appendix 4. Implementation Agreeement** A4-1 Implementation Agreement for the Washington State Department of **Natural Resources Habitat Conservation Plan** A4-1 **Background** A4-2 Agreement A4-2 12.0 **Definitions** A4-3 13.0 Incorporation by Reference A4-4 14.0 Responsibilities of the PARTIES A4-4 15.0 **PERMIT LANDS** A4-4 16.0 Forest Product Sales and Other Management Activities Other Than Land Sales, Purchases, and Exchanges A4-5 17.0 Land Transfers, Purchases, Sales, and Exchanges A4-7 18.0 Funding A4-7 19.0 Duration A4-8 20.0 Reporting and Inspections A4-8 21.0 **Comprehensive Reviews** A4-9 22.0 Adequacy and Certainty A4-9 23.0 **Unforeseen Circumstances** A4-10 24.0 **Extraordinary Circumstances** A4-12 25.0 **Amendments and Modifications** A4-14 26.0 **ITP Suspension or Revocation** A4-14 27.0 **Termination and Mitigation after Termination** A4-16 28.0 Authority, Remedies and Enforcement A4-16 29.0 **Informal Dispute Resolution Procedures** A4-18 30.0 **General Provisions** A4-19 31.0 **Notices** A4-19 32.0 **Designated Representatives Appendix 5. Economic Information** A5-1 **Harvest Projections and Economic Analysis** A5-1 **Economic Analysis Procedure for DNR's Habitat Conservation Plan** A5-1 Overview of Analysis Sustainable harvest simulator A5-2 A5-2 **Growth models** A5-3 Outside review of DNR's analysis A5-3 **Harvest Volume and Financial Analysis** A5-3 Introduction A5-4 **Assumptions** A5-5 Table 1: Draft Habitat Conservation Plan Assumptions Riparian Strategy

A5-7 Assumptions for Riparian Strategy
A5-8 Table 2: Draft Habitat Conservation Plan Assumptions for Spotted Owl
Strategy

Appendix 6. No Surprises Policy

- A6-1 No Surprises
 Assuring Certainty for Private Landowners in Endangered Species Act
 Habitat Conservation Planning
- A6-2 Purpose
- A6-2 Supplementary Information
- A6-2 Policy